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## Are These Sentences OK?

- Jane went to the store.
- store to Jane went the.
- Jane went store.
- Jane goed to the store.
- The store went to Jane.
- The food truck went to Jane.


## Engineering Solutions

- Jane went to the store.
- store to Jane went the.
- Jane went store.

Create a grammar of the language

- Jane goed to the store. \}

Consider
morphology and exceptions

- The store went to Jane. \} $\begin{aligned} & \text { Semantic categories, } \\ & \text { preferences }\end{aligned}$
- The food truck went to Jane.\} And their exceptions


## Probabilistic Language Models

$$
P(X)=\prod_{i=1}^{I} \frac{P\left(x_{i} \mid\right.}{\prod_{\text {Next Word }} \frac{\left.x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right)}{\upharpoonleft}}
$$

The big problem: How do we predict

$$
P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right)
$$

## What Can we Do w/ LMs?

- Score sentences:

> Jane went to the store.$\rightarrow$ high store to Jane went the $\rightarrow$ low
> (same as calculating loss for training)

- Generate sentences:
while didn't choose end-of-sentence symbol:
calculate probability
sample a new word from the probability distribution


## Count-based Language Models

## Review: Count-based Unigram Model

- Independence assumption: $P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right) \approx P\left(x_{i}\right)$
- Count-based maximum-likelihood estimation:

$$
P_{\mathrm{MLE}}\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{c_{\text {train }}\left(x_{i}\right)}{\sum_{\tilde{x}} c_{\text {train }}(\tilde{x})}
$$

- Interpolation w/ UNK model:

$$
P\left(x_{i}\right)=\left(1-\lambda_{\mathrm{unk}}\right) * P_{\mathrm{MLE}}\left(x_{i}\right)+\lambda_{\mathrm{unk}} * P_{\mathrm{unk}}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

## Higher-order n-gram Models

- Limit context length to $n$, count, and divide

$$
P_{M L}\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i-n+1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right):=\frac{c\left(x_{i-n+1}, \ldots, x_{i}\right)}{c\left(x_{i-n+1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right)}
$$

$P($ example $\mid$ this is $a)=\frac{c(\text { this is an example })}{c(\text { this is an })}$

- Add smoothing, to deal with zero counts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i-n+1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right)= & \lambda P_{M L}\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i-n+1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right) \\
& +(1-\lambda) P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1-n+2}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Smoothing Methods (e.g. Goodman 1998)

- Additive/Dirichlet:
fallback distribution

$$
P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i-n+1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right):=\frac{c\left(x_{i-n+1}, \ldots, x_{i}\right)+\bar{\alpha} \overline{P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i-n+2}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right)}}{c\left(x_{i-n+1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right)+\bar{\alpha}} \text { interpolation hyperparameter }
$$

- Discounting:
discount hyperparameter
$P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i-n+1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right):=\frac{\left.c\left(x_{i-n+1}, \ldots, x_{i}\right)-\bar{d}\right]-\bar{\alpha} P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i-n+2}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right)}{c\left(x_{i-n+1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right)}$
interpolation calculated by sum of discounts $\quad \bar{\alpha}=\sum_{\left\{\tilde{x} ; c\left(x_{i-n+1}, \ldots, \tilde{x}\right)>0\right\}} d$
- Kneser-Ney: discounting w/ modification of the lower-order distribution

Goodman. An Empirical Study of Smoothing Techniques for Language Modeling. 1998.

## Problems and Solutions?

- Cannot share strength among similar words she bought a car she bought a bicycle she purchased a car she purchased a bicycle
$\rightarrow$ solution: class based language models
- Cannot condition on context with intervening words

Dr. Jane Smith Dr. Gertrude Smith
$\rightarrow$ solution: skip-gram language models

- Cannot handle long-distance dependencies for tennis class he wanted to buy his own racquet for programming class he wanted to buy his own computer
$\rightarrow$ solution: cache, trigger, topic, syntactic models, etc.


## When to Use n-gram Models?

- Neural language models (next) achieve better performance, but
- n-gram models are extremely fast to estimate/apply
- n-gram models can be better at modeling lowfrequency phenomena
- Toolkit: kenlm
https://github.com/kpu/kenlm


## LM Evaluation

## Evaluation of LMs

- Log-likelihood:

$$
L L\left(\mathcal{E}_{\text {test }}\right)=\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{\text {test }}} \log P(E)
$$

- Per-word Log Likelihood:

$$
W L L\left(\mathcal{E}_{\text {test }}\right)=\frac{1}{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{\text {test }}}|E|} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{\text {test }}} \log P(E)
$$

- Per-word (Cross) Entropy:

$$
\begin{gathered}
H\left(\mathcal{E}_{\text {test }}\right)=\frac{1}{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{\text {test }}}|E|} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{\text {test }}}-\log _{2} P(E) \text { ) } \text { nlexitv. }
\end{gathered}
$$

- Perplexity:

$$
\operatorname{ppl}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\text {test }}\right)=2^{H\left(\mathcal{E}_{\text {test }}\right)}=e^{-W L L\left(\mathcal{E}_{\text {test }}\right)}
$$

## Unknown Words

- Necessity for UNK words
- We won't have all the words in the world in training data
- Larger vocabularies require more memory and computation time
- Common ways:
- Limit vocabulary by frequency threshold (usually UNK <= 1) or rank threshold
- Model characters or subwords


## Evaluation and Vocabulary

- Important: the vocabulary must be the same over models you compare
- Or more accurately, all models must be able to generate the test set (it's OK if they can generate more than the test set, but not less)
- e.g. Comparing a character-based model to a word-based model is fair, but not vice-versa

An Alternative:
Featurized Log-Linear Models
(Rosenfeld 1996)

## An Alternative: Featurized Models

- Calculate features of the context
- Based on the features, calculate probabilities
- Optimize feature weights using gradient descent, etc.


## An Alternative: Featurized Models

- Calculate features of the context, calculate probabilities

- Feature weights optimized by SGD, etc.
- What are similarities/differences w/ BOW classifier?


## Example:

Previous words: "giving a"

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | $\left(\begin{array}{l}3.0 \\ 2.5\end{array}\right.$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}-6.0 \\ -5.1\end{array}\right.$ | $\binom{-0.2}{-0.3}$ | $\binom{-3.2}{-2.9}$ |
| talk | $b=-0.2$ | $=0.2$ | - 1.0 | 1.0 |
| gift | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| hat | 1.2 | 0.5 | -1.2 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| re | w likely | How likely | $y$ How likely |  |
| predicting | are they? | given prev. | v. given 2nd prev. | score |
|  |  | word is "a"? | "? word is "giving"? |  |

## Reminder: Training <br> Algorithm

- Calculate the gradient of the loss function with respect to the parameters

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text {train }}(\theta)}{\partial \theta}
$$

- How? Use the chain rule / back-propagation. More in a second
- Update to move in a direction that decreases the loss

$$
\theta \leftarrow \theta-\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text {train }}(\theta)}{\partial \theta}
$$

## What Problems are Handled?

- Cannot share strength among similar words
she bought a car she purchased a car she bought a bicycle she purchased a bicycle
$\rightarrow$ not solved yet
- Cannot condition on context with intervening words Dr. Jane Smith Dr. Gertrude Smith
$\rightarrow$ solved! :
- Cannot handle long-distance dependencies for tennis class he wanted to buy his own racquet for programming class he wanted to buy his own computer
$\rightarrow$ not solved yet


# Beyond Linear Models 

## Linear Models can't Learn Feature Combinations

students take tests $\rightarrow$ high teachers take tests $\rightarrow$ low students write tests $\rightarrow$ low teachers write tests $\rightarrow$ high

- These can't be expressed by linear features
-What can we do?
- Remember combinations as features (individual scores for "students take", "teachers write") $\rightarrow$ Feature space explosion!
- Neural networks!


## "Neural" Nets

Original Motivation: Neurons in the Brain


## Current Conception: Computation Graphs



## expression:

x

## graph:

A node is a \{tensor, matrix, vector, scalar\} value
$\square$

An edge represents a function argument (and also an data dependency). They are just pointers to nodes.

A node with an incoming edge is a function of that edge's tail node.

A node knows how to compute its value and the value of its derivative w.r.t each argument (edge) times a derivative of an arbitrary input $\frac{\partial F}{\partial f(u)}$.

expression:

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{A}
$$

graph:
Functions can be nullary, unary, binary, ... $n$-ary. Often they are unary or binary.

expression:

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}
$$

graph:


Computation graphs are generally directed and acyclic
expression:

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}
$$

graph:

expression:

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x}+c
$$

graph:


## expression:

$$
y=\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x}+c
$$

graph:

variable names are just labelings of nodes.

## Algorithms (1)

- Graph construction
- Forward propagation
- In topological order, compute the value of the node given its inputs


## Forward Propagation

graph:


## Forward Propagation

graph:


## Forward Propagation

graph:


## Forward Propagation

graph:


## Forward Propagation

graph:


## Forward Propagation

graph:


## Forward Propagation

graph:


## Forward Propagation

graph:


## Algorithms (2)

- Back-propagation:
- Process examples in reverse topological order
- Calculate the derivatives of the parameters with respect to the final value
- Parameter update:
- Move the parameters in the direction of this derivative
W-= a*dl/dW


## Back Propagation

graph:


Much more detail next class!

## Back to Language Modeling

## Feed-forward Neural Language Models

giving a

- (See Bengio et al. 2003)

bias scores


## Example of Combination Features

- Word embeddings capture features of words
- e.g. feature 1 indicates verbs, feature 2 indicates determiners
- A row in the weight matrix (together with the bias) can capture particular combinations of these features
- e.g. the 34th row in the weight matrix looks at feature 1 in the second-to-previous word, and feature 2 in the previous word



## Where is Strength Shared?

## giving a



## Tying Input/Output

 Embeddings

Want to try? Delete the input embeddings, and instead pick a row from the softmax matrix.

## What Problems are Handled?

- Cannot share strength among similar words
she bought a car she purchased a car she bought a bicycle she purchased a bicycle
$\rightarrow$ solved, and similar contexts as well!
- Cannot condition on context with intervening words Dr. Jane Smith Dr. Gertrude Smith
$\rightarrow$ solved! :
- Cannot handle long-distance dependencies for tennis class he wanted to buy his own racquet for programming class he wanted to buy his own computer
$\rightarrow$ not solved yet


## Many Other Potential Designs!

- Neural networks allow design of arbitrarily complex functions!
- In future classes:
- Recurrent neural network LMs
- Transformer LMs

LM Problem Definition
Count-based LMs
Evaluating LMs

Log-linear LMs
Neural Net Basics
Feed-forward NN LMs

