Non-Native Text-To-Speech Preserving Speaker Individuality Based on Partial Correction of Prosodic and Phonetic Characteristics
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SUMMARY This paper presents a novel non-native speech synthesis technique that preserves the individuality of a non-native speaker. Cross-lingual speech synthesis based on voice conversion or Hidden Markov Model (HMM)-based speech synthesis is a technique to synthesize foreign language speech using a target speaker’s natural speech uttered in his/her mother tongue. Although this technique holds promise to improve a wide variety of applications, it tends to cause degradation of the target speaker’s individuality in synthetic speech compared to intra-lingual speech synthesis. This paper proposes a new approach to speech synthesis that preserves speaker individuality by using non-native speech spoken by the target speaker. Although the use of non-native speech makes it possible to preserve the speaker individuality in the synthesized target speech, naturalness is significantly degraded as the synthesized speech waveform is directly affected by unnatural prosody and pronunciation often caused by differences in the linguistic systems of the source and target languages. To improve naturalness while preserving speaker individuality, we propose (1) a prosody correction method based on model adaptation, and (2) a phonetic correction method based on spectrum replacement for unvoiced consonants. The experimental results using English speech uttered by native Japanese speakers demonstrate that (1) the proposed methods are capable of significantly improving naturalness while preserving the speaker individuality in synthetic speech, and (2) the proposed methods also improve intelligibility as confirmed by a dictation test.
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1. Introduction

According to recent improvements in synthetic speech quality [1]–[3] and robust building of speech synthesis systems [4], [5], statistical parametric speech synthesis [6] has been a promising technique to develop speech-based systems. Cross-lingual speech synthesis, which synthesizes foreign language speech with a non-native speaker’s own voice characteristics, holds promise to improve a wide variety of applications. For example, it makes it possible to build Computer-Assisted Language Learning (CALL) systems that let learners listen to reference speech with their own voices [7], and speech-to-speech translation systems that output with the input speaker’s voice [8].

There have been many attempts at developing cross-lingual speech synthesis based on statistical voice conversion [9] or Hidden Markov Model (HMM)-based speech synthesis [10]. For example, one-to-many Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)-based voice conversion can be applied to unsupervised speaker adaptation in cross-lingual speech synthesis [11], [12]. In addition, cross-lingual adaptation parameter mapping [13]–[15] and cross-lingual frame mapping [16] have also been proposed for HMM-based speech synthesis. These approaches use a non-native speaker’s natural voice in his/her mother tongue to extract speaker-dependent acoustic characteristics and make it possible to synthesize naturally sounding target language voices. However, speaker individuality in cross-lingually adapted speech tends to be inferior to that of intra-lingual speech synthesis.
Fig. 2  An overview of the proposed non-native speech synthesis framework consisting of a prosody correction module and a phonetic correction module. In the prosody correction module, power and duration components of the native (English) speaker are copied to the non-native (ERJ) HSMMs, and spectrum of non-native speech is replaced with that of native speech.

This paper proposes a method to improve naturalness of non-native speech synthesis preserving speaker individuality based on the partial correction of prosodic and phonetic characteristics, inspired by the previous work on improvements of naturalness of disordered speech for creating a personalized speech synthesis system [24]. The overview of the proposed method is shown in Figure 2. The prosody correction method partly adapts the native speaker’s HMM parameters by using the target speaker’s non-native speech. The phonetic correction method partly replaces the generated spectral parameters of the non-native speaker with those of the native speaker, applying replacement to only unvoiced consonants, the acoustic characteristics of which are less affected by speaker differences. The experimental results using ERJ speech demonstrate that the proposed methods are capable of improving naturalness and intelligibility of non-native speech while preserving speaker individuality.

2. HMM-Based Speech Synthesis

We adopt an HMM-based speech synthesis approach, modeling spectrum, excitation, and state duration parameters in a unified framework [25]. The output probability distribution function of the \(c\)-th HMM state is given by:

\[
b_c(o) = N(o; \mu_c, \Sigma_c),
\]

where \(o = [\epsilon_c^T, \Delta \epsilon_c^T, \Delta \Delta \epsilon_c^T]^T\) is a feature vector including a static feature vector \(\epsilon_c\) and its dynamic feature vectors \(\Delta \epsilon_c\) and \(\Delta \Delta \epsilon_c\). The vector \(\mu_c\) and the matrix \(\Sigma_c\) are the mean vector and the covariance matrix of Gaussian distribution \(N(\cdot; \mu_c, \Sigma_c)\) of the \(c\)-th HMM-state, respectively. Note that HMM state duration is also modeled by the Gaussian distribution as an explicit duration model.

Model adaptation for HMM-based speech synthesis [26] enables us to build the target speaker’s HMMs by transforming the pre-trained HMM parameters using the target speaker’s adaptation speech data. The transformed mean vector \(\hat{\mu}_c\) and covariance matrix \(\hat{\Sigma}_c\) are calculated as follows:

\[
\hat{\mu}_c = A \mu_c + b,
\]

\[
\hat{\Sigma}_c = A \Sigma_c A^T,
\]

where the transformation matrix \(A\) and the bias vector \(b\) are adaptation parameters. Usually the probability density functions are clustered into multiple classes and the corresponding adaptation parameters are applied to them. Because the spectrum, excitation, and state duration parameters are all adaptable, not only segmental features but also prosodic features can be adapted simultaneously.

In synthesis, a sentence HMM is first created based on context obtained from an input text. Then, given the HMM state duration determined by maximizing the duration likelihood, the synthetic speech parameter sequence is generated by maximizing the HMM likelihood under the constraint on the relationship between static and dynamic features [27].

3. Proposed Partial Correction of Prosodic and Phonetic Characteristics

This section describes our proposed method for synthesizing...
more naturally sounding non-native speech while preserving speaker individuality. A subset of the native speaker’s HMM parameters are used to improve the naturalness of synthetic speech from the non-native speaker’s HMMs.

3.1 Prosody Correction based on Model Adaptation

The non-native speaker’s HMMs are created by adapting the native speaker’s pre-trained HMMs to the non-native speech data. However, the standard adaptation process transforming all HMM parameters makes synthetic speech from the adapted HMMs sound as unnatural as the original non-native speech. It is well known that large differences between ERJ speech and native English speech are often observed in duration and power [28], [29]. Therefore, we propose an adaptation process to make it possible to use the native speaker’s patterns of duration and power for synthesizing more naturally sounding ERJ speech.

As the observed speech features modeled by the native speaker’s pre-trained HMMs, we use log-scaled power, spectral envelope, and excitation parameters. In adaptation, the output probability density functions of only the spectral envelope and excitation parameters are adapted to the target non-native speech data in the standard manner [26], and duration and power are kept unchanged. Consequently, the adapted HMMs model the spectral envelope and excitation parameters of the target non-native speech and duration and power patterns of the native speaker†.

3.2 Phonetic Correction based on Spectrum Replacement for Unvoiced Consonants

The proposed phonetic correction method partly replaces generated spectral envelope parameters of the non-native speaker with those of the native speaker. Although there are many studies in speech perception [30], [31] showing the effect of the speaker differences on pitch and vowels, such studies focusing on unvoiced consonants are limited. Considering these previous studies, we expect that unvoiced consonants are less affected by speaker differences. On the other hand, pronunciation significantly affects the naturalness of non-native speech. Therefore, we can expect that replacing the spectrum of unvoiced consonants with their native counterparts may improve naturalness without causing adverse effects on speaker individuality.

First, we generate two kinds of synthetic speech parameters from the native speaker’s HMMs and the non-native speaker’s HMMs with corrected prosody, respectively. Note that these parameters are temporally aligned because the two HMMs share the same HMM-state duration models. Then, the non-native speaker’s spectral envelope parameters corresponding to unvoiced consonants are replaced with those of the native speaker. For voiced frames aligned to HMM states for unvoiced consonants, spectral replacement is not performed, as it has the potential to reduce both naturalness and individuality. Note that it is also possible to replace not spectral features but the state output probability distributions. Although such an implementation is expected to avoid generating discontinuities caused by directly concatenating spectral parameters [16], [32], [33], we found that spectral replacement caused no significant degradation, and thus for simplicity we use it in this paper.

4. Experimental Evaluations

4.1 Experimental Conditions

We used 593 sentences spoken by a male and a female native English speaker for training and 50 sentences for evaluation from the CMU ARCTIC [34] speech database. Speech signals were sampled at 16 kHz. The log-scaled power and the 1st-through-24th mel-cepstral coefficients were extracted as spectral parameters, and log-scaled F0 and 5 band-aperiodicity [35] were extracted as excitation parameters by STRAIGHT [36], [37]. The feature vector consists of spectral and excitation parameters and their delta and delta-delta features. 5-state left-to-right HSMMs [38] were used. The log-scaled power and the mel-cepstral coefficients were trained in the same stream. CSMA+PLR + MAP [39] were used for model adaptation, and the block diagonal matrix corresponding to static parameters and their delta and delta-delta features and the bias vector were used as the linear transform for adaptation. Intra-gender adaptation was performed in adaptation from the native speakers to several non-native speakers. For comparison, we constructed a traditional GMM-based voice conversion system, which is labeled as “HMM+VC” below. We built a 64-mixture GMM for spectral parameter conversion and a 16-mixture GMM for band-aperiodicity conversion. The log-scaled F0 was linearly converted.

We evaluate synthetic speech of the following systems:

ERJ: speaker-dependent HSMMs trained using ERJ speech.
HMM+VC: a GMM that converted the parameters generated from “Native” to the ERJ speech parameters [12]††
Adapt: HSMMs for which all parameters were adapted
Dur.: HSMMs for which all parameters except duration were adapted
Dur.+Pow.: HSMMs for which all parameters except duration and the log-scaled power were adapted
Dur.+Pow.+UVC: HSMMs for which all parameters except duration and the log-scaled power were adapted and the unvoiced consonants are further corrected.
Native: speaker-dependent HSMMs trained using native

†We may choose another combination of speech parameters not to be adapted, e.g., not only duration and power patterns but also the excitation parameters. In our preliminary experiment, we found that the effect of the excitation parameters on naturalness was much smaller than that on the speaker individuality. Therefore, we decided to adapt the excitation parameters in this paper.

††We adopt the one-to-one GMM-based conversion framework instead of the one-to-many framework [12].
Fig. 3 An example of the power trajectories of synthesized English speech samples for a sentence “I can see that knife now.” We can find the power trajectory modified by the proposed prosody modification.

Figures 4 and 5 show the results of the subjective evaluation on speaker individuality and naturalness evaluated by native Japanese speakers and native English speakers, respectively. Compared between Figure 4(a) and Figure 5(a), we can see that the tendency of the individuality score is almost the same between listeners who have different mother tongues. On the other hand, the naturalness scores evaluated by the English speakers (Figure 5) tend to be worse than those evaluated by the Japanese speakers (Figure 4). Next, we focus the effect of the power correction. We can see that the differences of naturalness scores between power-corrected and non-corrected methods evaluated by the English speakers are larger than those evaluated by the Japanese speakers. We expect that this is because the English speakers are more sensitive to the stress of the synthetic speech than the Japanese speakers.

Finally, we discuss the effectiveness of the proposed prosody correction method evaluated by the English speakers shown in Figure 5. Although “HMM+VC” improves the naturalness compared to “ERJ” and the fully adapted HMMs (“Adapt”), their scores on speaker individuality decrease significantly. On the other hand, the proposed methods “Dur.” and “Dur.+Pow.” achieve better scores on naturalness than “ERJ” and “Adapt” while maintaining the scores on speaker individuality.

4.2.2 Effects of the English Proficiency Level of ERJ Speakers

In order to investigate whether or not the proposed prosody correction method is effective for various ERJ speakers, we further conducted the MOS and DMOS tests using other ERJ speakers who have various English proficiency levels. We used TIMIT [40] sentences from the ERJ database [20] uttered by 2 male and 2 female speakers who had the best (“High”) or the worst (“Low”) English proficiency
4.3 Evaluation of Phonetic Correction Method

Next, we evaluated the effectiveness of the proposed phoneme correction and its dependency on the English proficiency level of each ERJ speaker. As the ERJ speech data, we used 60 CMU ARCTIC sentences uttered by “Monolingual” and “Bilingual” from Section 4.2.1, and 60 TIMIT sentences uttered by 4 speakers from Section 4.2.2. “Bilingual” and “Monolingual” speakers were regarded as belonging to “High” and “Low” proficiency levels, respectively. We compared “Dur.+Pow.” to the proposed method further correcting the phonetic characteristics (“Dur.+Pow.+UVC”). We conducted a preference XAB test on speaker individuality using “Dur.+Pow.” and “Dur.+Pow.+UVC” and a preference AB test on naturalness using “Dur.+Pow.” “Dur.+Pow.+UVC,” and “Native.”

Figure 7 shows an example of the spectrogram. We can see that the spectral segments corresponding the unvoiced consonants (i.e., /k/ and /s/) are replaced and are the same as those of “Native.” Figure 8 shows the results of the subjective evaluation. The results of the subjective evaluation are calculated in each proficiency level. We can observe that “Dur.+Pow.+UVC” yields a better naturalness score for the low proficiency level, although there is no significant improvement for the high proficiency level. We can also observe that “Dur.+Pow.+UVC” maintains speaker individuality scores almost equal to those of “Dur.+Pow.” for both high and low proficiency levels. These results demonstrate that the proposed phonetic correction method is effective for the ERJ speakers whose English proficiency levels are low, and does not cause any adverse effects.

††Multiple scores assigned to each speaker [20] were averaged to determine the best and worst English proficiency levels. We compared the scores in each gender, and chose speakers of “High” and “Low” from each gender.

††We have found there is no significant difference between “Dur.+Pow.+UVC” and “Dur.+Pow.” at the 1% confidence level.
4.4 Evaluation of Intelligibility

To evaluate intelligibility of synthetic speech, we conducted a manual dictation test. We used the same ERJ data as used in Section 4.3 for training. 50 Semantically Unpredictable Sentences (SUS) \[41\] were used for evaluation. Each listener evaluated 50 samples, 10 samples per system. Synthetic speech samples of “HMM+VC,” “Dur.+Pow.+UVC,” and “Native” were presented to the listeners in random order. The word correct rate and word accuracy were calculated for each proficiency level.

Figure 9 shows the result of the dictation test. It can be observed that “Dur.+Pow.+UVC” yields intelligibility improvements compared to “HMM+VC” for the low proficiency level (4% and 5% improvements for the word correct rate and the word accuracy, respectively). On the other hand, their scores are similar to each other for the high proficiency level. These results show that the proposed method is more effective than the conventional VC-based method in terms of intelligibility as well.

5. Summary

This paper has proposed a novel non-native speech synthesis technique preserving speaker individuality based on partial correction of prosodic and phonetic characteristics. The proposed prosody correction method adopted a native English speaker’s acoustic models for power and duration. The proposed phonetic correction method replaced the non-native speaker’s spectra with the native English speaker’s spectra for unvoiced consonants. The experimental results have demonstrated that (1) the proposed methods are capable of significantly improving naturalness while preserving the speaker individuality in synthetic speech, and (2) the improvement by the proposed methods in intelligibility is also confirmed by the dictation test.
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